

# National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 Results

**York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust** 

**Published September 2019** 

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



#### Introduction

All adult patients (aged 16 and over), with a primary diagnosis of cancer, who were admitted to hospital as inpatients for cancer related treatment, or who were seen as day case patients for cancer related treatment, and were discharged between 1st April 2018 and 30th June 2018 should have been included in the survey.

After the end of the fieldwork for York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust it was discovered that palliative care patients had been excluded. It is expected that the impact on the trust's results will have been small, and the impact on 2018 Alliances' and national results would be very small.

This error only occurred in 2018, and given the likely low impact on local scores, it should not affect comparisons of scores between 2018 and previous years for York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust.

#### Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 is the eighth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2018 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

#### This report

The report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

#### **Data tables**

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

**Column 1** shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this Trust

**Column 3** shows the number of respondents for 2018 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2018 score for this Trust

**Column 5** shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2017)

**Column 6** shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

**Column 7** shows the case-mix adjusted 2018 score for this Trust

**Column 8** shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this Trust (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

**Column 9** shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this Trust (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below) **Column 10** shows the national average score for this question.

#### **Data tables (continued)**

The number of respondents and unadjusted scores in columns 1 to 4 in the data tables come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/quidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

		Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10
			U	nadjuste	ed Scor	es		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	ısted
		20	17	20	18	Ω					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	227	84%	424	77%			77%	73%	81%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	316	88%	574	86%			86%	81%	87%	84%

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

#### www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

## **Comparability charts**

For the 2018 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (below the lower limit of the expected range) are positive (negative) outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher (lower) than the national mean. They perform better (worse) than what Trusts of the same size are expected to perform.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this Trust.

#### **Comparability charts (continued)**

The same colour convention has been used in Column 7 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018">www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018</a>

#### **Tumour group tables**

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for Trusts to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. Scores for some tumour sites with small numbers (less than 21 respondents) are not presented as they have low precision. Scores in these tables should therefore be treated with some caution.

Scores are unadjusted mean scores. No measure of dispersion is presented. Scores for the same tumour and different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document at: <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/quidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018">www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/quidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018</a>

#### **Year on Year Charts**

The Year on Year charts in this report show four columns representing the unadjusted scores¹ of the last four years (2015, 2016, 2017 & 2018) for each question. These charts have been designed to provide a visual comparison to better help the Trusts understand their performance and areas for improvement.

#### Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The unadjusted scores in the columns come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

#### How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another Trust.

#### **Response rates**

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

#### **Executive Summary**

8.9 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

- 81% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 89% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- **91%** of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 89% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- **97%** of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- 60% of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.


Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

# Questions which scored outside expected range

			2018	Case-mix A	djusted	
Questi	on	Number of respondents for this Trust	2018 Score for this Trust	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Findin	g out what was wrong with you					
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	509	81%	70%	78%	74%
Decidi	ing the best treatment for you					
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	560	73%	63%	71%	67%
Clinica	al Nurse Specialist					
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	452	91%	81%	90%	85%
Suppo	ort for people with cancer					
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	256	89%	76%	86%	81%
Hospi	tal care as an inpatient					
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	287	92%	82%	91%	87%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	297	97%	92%	97%	94%
Hospi	tal care as a day patient / outpatient					
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	313	90%	80%	88%	84%
Your	overall NHS care					
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	561	66%	57%	65%	61%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	576	84%	61%	77%	69%

#### Seeing your GP



			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score	s		2018 Case Mi			sted
		20	17	20	18	C				-,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	227	84%	424	77%			77%	73%	81%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	316	88%	574	86%			86%	81%	87%	84%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Diagnostic Tests**




			L	Jnadjuste	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjust			sted	
		20	17	20	18	Ω	_		o oasc	.,	
	Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	290	95%	489	97%			96%	92%	97%	94%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	298	90%	491	90%			90%	85%	91%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	299	84%	492	82%			81%	76%	83%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Finding out what was wrong with you




			U	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	)17	20	18	C			o odoo	iviix riaja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	300	77%	539	78%			78%	72%	83%	78%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	319	87%	581	84%			84%	82%	87%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	323	76%	582	76%			76%	70%	77%	74%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	277	82%	509	82%			81%	70%	78%	74%

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

  ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

  (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
  - \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	8 Casa	8 Case Mix Adjuste		
		20	)17	20	18	C		201	o oasc	iviix Aaja	3100
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	282	88%	518	85%			85%	80%	86%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	306	75%	564	76%			76%	69%	77%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	307	73%	560	73%			73%	63%	71%	67%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		201	8 Case	se Mix Adjusted	
		20	17	20	18	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	292	54%	530	56%			57%	52%	60%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	312	80%	577	81%			81%	75%	82%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Clinical Nurse Specialist**




			L	Inadjuste	ed Score	s		2018 Case Mix Adj			stad
		20	17	20	18	C		201	o oasc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	306	92%	562	90%			89%	88%	94%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	235	90%	452	91%			91%	81%	90%	85%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	221	92%	434	91%			91%	85%	91%	88%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Support for people with cancer




			L	Jnadjuste	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjuste			etad	
		20	)17	20	18	C		201	o case	IVIIX Auju	Sieu
	Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	243	93%	452	88%			87%	82%	91%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	214	84%	382	86%			85%	79%	87%	83%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	146	65%	283	66%			67%	52%	69%	60%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	145	86%	256	89%			89%	76%	86%	81%

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

  ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

  (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
  - \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Operations**



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		2018 Case Mix Adjuste			sted
		20	)17	20	18	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	227	96%	279	96%			96%	93%	98%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	225	83%	274	84%			84%	74%	84%	79%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)



		Unadjusted Scores 2017 2018 O						2018 Case Mix Adjust		sted	
		20	17	20	18	Ω					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	239	82%	302	87%			86%	78%	87%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	239	89%	304	86%			85%	81%	89%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	187	75%	233	77%			78%	68%	79%	74%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	239	78%	304	72%			73%	71%	80%	75%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)



			L	Inadjust	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjusted		sted		
		20	17	20	18	Ω					0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	237	68%	300	64%			65%	61%	74%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	237	71%	301	71%			73%	59%	79%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	237	84%	305	84%			85%	82%	90%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	174	53%	210	55%			55%	46%	59%	53%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)



		Unadjusted Scores					201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		20	17	20	18	C			o odoo	viix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	222	83%	263	84%			83%	79%	88%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	236	90%	306	89%			89%	85%	92%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	222	84%	287	92%			92%	82%	91%	87%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	237	97%	297	98%			97%	92%	97%	94%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)



			ι	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	8 Casa	Mix Adju	stad
		20	17	20	)18	0		201	o oase	iviix Auju	Sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	237	80%	421	74%			74%	66%	76%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	288	97%	509	94%			94%	94%	97%	96%
Q44	radiotherapy treatment	53	91%	88	90%			89%	79%	93%	86%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	47	60%	74	62%			62%	48%	71%	60%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)




		Unadjusted Scores					2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted	
		20	2017 2018 Q			otou					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	159	86%	313	90%			90%	80%	88%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	142	70%	292	72%			72%	62%	73%	68%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Home care and support




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		2017 2018			2010 Gabo Milk / Kajadio			Jiou			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	254	54%	446	64%			64%	56%	65%	60%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	140	55%	250	61%			60%	44%	61%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	82	41%	153	48%			48%	37%	53%	45%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Care from your general practice



		Unadjusted Scores					2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted	
		2017 2018		C				-,-			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	276	98%	471	96%			96%	93%	97%	95%
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	214	62%	384	60%			60%	54%	64%	59%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



		Unadjusted Scores						201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	17	20	18	C		201	o odoo	iviix riaja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	304	69%	561	66%			66%	57%	65%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	258	37%	451	36%			37%	30%	40%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	320	94%	583	91%			91%	85%	92%	88%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	320	78%	576	83%		1	84%	61%	77%	69%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score


↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjusted			
		2017 2018			2010 Gado Mix Majadida			olou			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	318	8.9	564	9.0			8.9	8.7	8.9	8.8

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
  ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
  (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
  - \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Comparisons by tumour group for this Trust**

The following tables show the unadjusted Trust and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

# Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw ( twice before told had the hospital	ore being	they were	nt thought seen as necessary	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	58%	n.a.	73%	
Breast	98%	94%	94%	90%	
Colorectal / LGT	78%	72%	89%	83%	
Gynaecological	*	75%	71%	79%	
Haematological	57%	64%	81%	81%	
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	80%	
Lung	*	71%	*	82%	
Prostate	83%	81%	86%	85%	
Sarcoma	*	66%	*	71%	
Skin	*	90%	*	86%	
Upper Gastro	77%	72%	81%	78%	
Urological	79%	81%	88%	85%	
Other	58%	73%	78%	79%	
All Cancers	77%	77%	86% 84%		

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# **Diagnostic tests**

		ived all the on needed test		ing for the done was	understandable v		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	92%	n.a.	83%	n.a.	71%	
Breast	96%	95%	94%	91%	87%	82%	
Colorectal / LGT	97%	96%	89%	87%	80%	81%	
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	85%	*	77%	
Haematological	97%	95%	90%	88%	83%	77%	
Head and Neck	*	92%	*	85%	*	80%	
Lung	*	94%	*	87%	*	79%	
Prostate	95%	94%	79%	86%	73%	79%	
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	79%	*	74%	
Skin	*	96%	*	90%	*	83%	
Upper Gastro	100%	94%	83%	83%	65%	75%	
Urological	100%	94%	95%	87%	93%	79%	
Other	95%	95%	91%	86%	75%	76%	
All Cancers	97%	94%	90%	88%	82%	79%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Finding out what was wrong with you

	could brit member o	t told they	Q9. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer		Q10. Pation complete understoo explanation was wrong	ly od the on of what	-	nderstand formation type of
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust <sup>\$</sup> National Th		This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	59%	n.a.	63%
Breast	83%	82%	91%	89%	80%	77%	90%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	82%	82%	84%	86%	83%	79%	87%	73%
Gynaecological	*	72%	*	82%	*	73%	*	70%
Haematological	68%	73%	82%	83%	59%	61%	80%	76%
Head and Neck	*	72%	*	86%	*	76%	*	67%
Lung	*	79%	*	82%	*	76%	*	67%
Prostate	73%	78%	80%	85%	82%	78%	78%	82%
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	79%	*	61%	*	57%
Skin	*	71%	*	90%	*	80%	*	84%
Upper Gastro	86%	79%	72%	80%	67%	73%	*	66%
Urological	86%	74%	91%	82%	82%	77%	75%	73%
Other	72%	76%	71%	82%	70%	70%	61%	65%
All Cancers	78%	78%	84%	85%	76%	74%	82%	74%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Patic treatment were com explained	pletely	effects ex	sible side splained in standable	support i	advice and n dealing effects of
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	81%	n.a.	70%	n.a.	64%
Breast	90%	84%	76%	75%	78%	69%
Colorectal / LGT	87%	85%	81%	76%	78%	70%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	75%	*	67%
Haematological	86%	81%	73%	70%	68%	66%
Head and Neck	*	85%	*	74%	*	70%
Lung	*	84%	*	74%	*	69%
Prostate	85%	82%	76%	72%	64%	65%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	72%	*	62%
Skin	*	89%	*	80%	*	74%
Upper Gastro	68%	81%	68%	72%	68%	68%
Urological	76%	82%	90%	71%	78%	62%
Other	82%	80%	67%	72%	64%	64%
All Cancers	85%	83%	76%	73%	73%	67%

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in	in decisions about care and treatmen				
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National			
Brain / CNS	n.a.	61%	n.a.	70%			
Breast	65%	56%	84%	79%			
Colorectal / LGT	58%	58%	85%	80%			
Gynaecological	*	55%	76%	79%			
Haematological	51%	51%	78%	77%			
Head and Neck	*	62%	*	79%			
Lung	*	56%	*	79%			
Prostate	58%	64%	78%	81%			
Sarcoma	*	52%	*	75%			
Skin	*	66%	*	87%			
Upper Gastro	52%	54%	76%	76%			
Urological	60%	53%	88%	77%			
Other	33%	53%	72%	76%			
All Cancers	56%	56%	81%	79%			

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# **Clinical Nurse Specialist**

	Q17. Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment		Q18. Pation	contact	Q19. Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	84%	
Breast	91%	95%	90%	85%	91%	88%	
Colorectal / LGT	94%	92%	91%	88%	95%	89%	
Gynaecological	95%	94%	*	85%	*	88%	
Haematological	86%	92%	93%	88%	92%	89%	
Head and Neck	*	90%	*	87%	*	88%	
Lung	*	93%	*	87%	*	88%	
Prostate	77%	90%	90%	82%	92%	87%	
Sarcoma	*	87%	*	84%	*	87%	
Skin	*	91%	*	89%	*	91%	
Upper Gastro	96%	93%	*	85%	*	87%	
Urological	91%	84%	83%	82%	97%	87%	
Other	94%	88%	93%	85%	84%	87%	
All Cancers	90%	91%	91%	85%	91%	88%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sup groups		gave info about imp cancer co	Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities  Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	70%	n.a.	79%
Breast	92%	91%	86%	86%	60%	65%	88%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	89%	86%	95%	84%	76%	58%	90%	83%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	82%	*	61%	*	77%
Haematological	86%	86%	89%	84%	62%	62%	90%	87%
Head and Neck	*	86%	*	83%	*	60%	*	82%
Lung	*	86%	*	81%	*	71%	*	85%
Prostate	90%	89%	83%	85%	52%	51%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	71%	*	56%	*	75%
Skin	*	89%	*	84%	*	60%	*	72%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	81%	*	63%	*	84%
Urological	77%	79%	*	75%	*	44%	*	68%
Other	83%	82%	69%	78%	69%	58%	85%	80%
All Cancers	88%	86%	86%	83%	66%	60%	89%	81%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# **Operations**

			Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way			
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	70%		
Breast	98%	96%	82%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	97%	96%	92%	83%		
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	81%		
Haematological	*	94%	*	77%		
Head and Neck	*	95%	*	78%		
Lung	*	95%	*	78%		
Prostate	*	95%	*	75%		
Sarcoma	n.a.	94%	n.a.	78%		
Skin	*	97%	*	84%		
Upper Gastro	*	95%	*	80%		
Urological	100%	95%	91%	76%		
Other	*	95%	*	78%		
All Cancers	96%	96%	84%	79%		

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

		r nurses lk in front as if they	Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	73%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	66%	n.a.	67%
Breast	93%	89%	87%	86%	80%	77%	72%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	85%	77%	91%	86%	77%	73%	77%	71%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	86%	*	72%	*	73%
Haematological	89%	81%	76%	81%	83%	74%	64%	76%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	86%	*	75%	*	74%
Lung	*	77%	*	83%	*	74%	*	76%
Prostate	*	86%	*	89%	*	73%	*	80%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	84%	*	72%	*	68%
Skin	*	89%	*	90%	*	81%	*	87%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%
Urological	80%	80%	94%	87%	76%	70%	90%	78%
Other	*	80%	*	82%	*	71%	*	72%
All Cancers	87%	82%	86%	85%	77%	74%	72%	75%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	•	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	57%	n.a.	68%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	40%
Breast	62%	71%	61%	64%	88%	87%	62%	56%
Colorectal / LGT	72%	62%	85%	71%	89%	85%	67%	54%
Gynaecological	*	67%	*	67%	*	84%	*	51%
Haematological	53%	64%	82%	71%	76%	86%	52%	55%
Head and Neck	*	66%	*	69%	*	87%	*	55%
Lung	*	70%	*	74%	*	85%	*	52%
Prostate	*	73%	*	69%	*	88%	*	51%
Sarcoma	*	64%	*	66%	*	85%	*	46%
Skin	*	80%	*	72%	*	91%	*	59%
Upper Gastro	*	61%	*	75%	*	84%	*	51%
Urological	80%	69%	77%	73%	90%	85%	*	47%
Other	*	62%	*	68%	*	82%	*	48%
All Cancers	64%	67%	71%	69%	84%	86%	55%	53%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control pa	g to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	82%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	94%
Breast	86%	86%	90%	89%	94%	92%	98%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	88%	85%	92%	87%	96%	84%	100%	94%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	95%
Haematological	79%	83%	84%	90%	85%	81%	95%	95%
Head and Neck	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	93%
Lung	*	84%	*	89%	*	84%	*	92%
Prostate	*	84%	*	91%	*	90%	*	95%
Sarcoma	n.a.	80%	*	85%	*	81%	*	94%
Skin	*	88%	*	93%	*	91%	*	96%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	86%	*	82%	*	94%
Urological	91%	81%	94%	89%	93%	86%	100%	91%
Other	*	81%	*	87%	*	83%	*	92%
All Cancers	84%	84%	89%	89%	92%	87%	98%	94%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears	Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	64%	n.a.	94%	n.a.	88%	n.a.	47%
Breast	74%	70%	98%	96%	92%	88%	58%	61%
Colorectal / LGT	80%	73%	92%	96%	*	84%	*	57%
Gynaecological	*	71%	*	96%	*	86%	*	61%
Haematological	76%	74%	95%	96%	*	83%	*	59%
Head and Neck	*	73%	*	96%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	61%
Lung	*	69%	*	95%	*	85%	*	56%
Prostate	72%	73%	92%	95%	*	87%	*	61%
Sarcoma	*	63%	*	94%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	65%
Skin	*	74%	*	97%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	72%
Upper Gastro	*	70%	91%	95%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	53%
Urological	79%	67%	100%	95%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	55%
Other	71%	68%	84%	95%	*	85%	*	60%
All Cancers	74%	71%	94%	96%	90%	86%	62%	60%

	Q47. Before patient has informatic about chemother treatment	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	79%	n.a.	50%	
Breast	87%	83%	72%	64%	
Colorectal / LGT	90%	85%	66%	64%	
Gynaecological	*	86%	*	68%	
Haematological	95%	85%	81%	75%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	79%	n.a.	54%	
Lung	*	84%	*	67%	
Prostate	*	85%	*	68%	
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	67%	
Skin	n.a.	86%	n.a.	79%	
Upper Gastro	83%	84%	61%	61%	
Urological	*	* 82%		65%	
Other	84%	85%	72%	70%	
All Cancers	90%	84%	72%	68%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Home care and support

	Q49. Hos gave fami someone the inforn needed to care at ho	ily or close all nation help with	Q50. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment		Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	60%	n.a.	50%	n.a.	48%
Breast	64%	59%	50%	54%	33%	42%
Colorectal / LGT	69%	63%	78%	60%	70%	52%
Gynaecological	*	59%	*	47%	*	38%
Haematological	67%	63%	56%	52%	39%	44%
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	56%	*	53%
Lung	*	60%	*	52%	*	43%
Prostate	66%	60%	*	46%	*	40%
Sarcoma	*	55%	*	49%	*	45%
Skin	*	67%	n.a.	60%	n.a.	59%
Upper Gastro	81%	60%	*	53%	*	48%
Urological	60%	59%	*	47%	*	44%
Other	46%	56%	54%	52%	*	44%
All Cancers	64%	60%	61%	53%	48%	45%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# **Care from your general practice**

	Q52. GP of enough in about pat condition treatment	nformation ient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	88%	n.a.	51%	
Breast	96%	96%	65%	59%	
Colorectal / LGT	97%	95%	57%	58%	
Gynaecological	*	95%	*	57%	
Haematological	96%	95%	66%	58%	
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	58%	
Lung	*	94%	*	58%	
Prostate	100%	95%	68%	64%	
Sarcoma	*	95%	*	53%	
Skin	*	97%	*	67%	
Upper Gastro	90%	94%	*	58%	
Urological	100%	95%	65%	61%	
Other	90%	94%	47%	56%	
All Cancers	96%	95%	60% 59%		

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hos communi always we together	•	Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	45%	n.a.	33%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	59%
Breast	65%	61%	38%	39%	95%	90%	90%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	74%	61%	62%	38%	85%	88%	77%	72%
Gynaecological	*	58%	*	31%	76%	87%	71%	69%
Haematological	62%	64%	30%	35%	95%	91%	80%	66%
Head and Neck	*	61%	*	37%	*	88%	*	71%
Lung	*	62%	*	31%	*	89%	*	71%
Prostate	71%	65%	17%	36%	92%	87%	85%	75%
Sarcoma	*	54%	*	28%	*	86%	*	64%
Skin	*	71%	*	42%	*	91%	*	73%
Upper Gastro	64%	59%	*	35%	92%	86%	88%	68%
Urological	80%	62%	19%	30%	100%	85%	98%	75%
Other	51%	57%	23%	30%	86%	87%	78%	63%
All Cancers	66%	61%	36%	35%	91%	88%	83%	69%


	Q58. Taki cancer re discussed patient		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	39%	n.a.	8.4	
Breast	20%	31%	9.0	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	47%	33%	8.9	8.8	
Gynaecological	29%	37%	8.8	8.8	
Haematological	36%	32%	9.0	8.9	
Head and Neck	*	23%	*	8.8	
Lung	*	35%	*	8.8	
Prostate	25%	33%	8.9	8.8	
Sarcoma	*	40%	*	8.6	
Skin	*	16%	*	9.0	
Upper Gastro	17%	35%	9.0	8.7	
Urological	15%	21%	9.1	8.7	
Other	33%	32%	8.8	8.7	
All Cancers	29%	31%	9.0	8.8	


<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

#### **Year on Year Scores**

The charts below present the unadjusted scores for this Trust from 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.


#### Seeing your GP







<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Diagnostic tests**










<sup>\*</sup> This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Finding out what was wrong with you








<sup>\*</sup> This question was not asked on the 2015 survey


<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


# Finding out what was wrong with you (continued)




<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Deciding the best treatment for you









<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


# **Deciding the best treatment for you (continued)**







<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# **Clinical Nurse Specialist**










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# Support for people with cancer









<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


# Support for people with cancer (continued)



<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# **Operations**








<sup>\*</sup> This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# **Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)**










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (continued)










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# Home care and support









<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


#### Care from your general practice







<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Your overall NHS care










<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

# Your overall NHS care (continued)







<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Annex**

#### **Methodology**

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2018.

# Please see important note regarding potential impact of sampling error for this Trust detailed at page 2 of this report.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2018 and March 2019.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

#### **Further information**

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

#### Redevelopment of the 2018 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2017.

#### **Official Statistics**

The 2018 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

#### **Annex (continued)**

#### Scoring methodologies

51 of the 52 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2018 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

#### Case-mix adjustment

As in 2017, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for Trusts. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

#### **Annex (continued)**

#### Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2018 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2018 have been compared with those of 2017 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, overall statistically significant change over the four years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

#### **Response Rates**

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	123,512	8,445	115,067	37,171	4,079	73,817	64%
RCB	847	25	822	190	41	591	72%

## Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*			
Brain / CNS	0			
Breast	149			
Colorectal / LGT	96			
Gynaecological	21			
Haematological	119			
Head and Neck	7			
Lung	19			
Prostate	51			
Sarcoma	3			
Skin	5			
Upper Gastro	26			
Urological	44			
Other	51			

<sup>\*</sup> These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

#### Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	1	5	15	46	93	93	13	266
Female	0	4	11	64	70	100	66	10	325
Total	0	5	16	79	116	193	159	23	591



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

#### www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk